

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Ph}_2\text{C}=\text{S} + \text{EtOH} \xrightarrow{h\nu} \text{Ph}_2\dot{\text{C}}\text{SH} + \text{Me}\dot{\text{C}}\text{HOH} \\ \quad \quad \quad \longrightarrow \text{Ph}_2\text{CHSH} \xrightarrow{h\nu} \text{Ph}_2\text{CHSSCHPh}_2 \\ \text{Bu}_2^t\text{C}=\text{S} + \text{EtOH} \xrightarrow{h\nu} \text{no reaction} \\ \text{Ph}_2\text{C}=\text{S} + \text{PhCH}_3 \xrightleftharpoons{h\nu} \text{Ph}_2\text{CSH} + \text{PhCH}_2\cdot \\ \quad \quad \quad \longrightarrow \text{no reaction} \\ \text{Bu}_2^t\text{C}=\text{S} + \text{PhCH}_3 \xrightarrow{h\nu} \text{Bu}_2^t\text{CSH} + \text{PhCH}_2\cdot \\ \quad \quad \quad \xrightarrow{\text{PhCH}_3} \text{Bu}_2^t\text{CHSH} + \text{PhCH}_2\cdot\text{CH}_2\cdot\text{Ph} \end{array} \right.$$

The results clearly suggest that, in their biradical-type excited states, thiobenophenone has more radical-character on sulfur than on thiocarbonyl-carbon, and the reverse is true for **1**. That is, the electronic configuration of thiocarbonyl group is much affected by the substituent. This is also true for their ground-state chemistry.<sup>17)</sup>

### Experimental

**Materials.** Di-*t*-butyl thioetone (**1**) and thiobenophenone were prepared as previously mentioned.<sup>6,18)</sup> Solvents were dried and distilled prior to the use.

**Procedure.** As a standard procedure, 300 mg of **1** in 10 ml of a hydrogen-donor solvent was sealed in a Pyrex tube (10 mm $\phi$ ) under an atmosphere of nitrogen. The mixture was irradiated with a 500 W high-pressure mercury lamp at a temperature of running water. After the reaction, the solvent was evaporated under a reduced pressure and the residual oil was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with an eluent of hexane-benzene (4 : 1 v/v) mixture. The product isolated was identified to be 2,2,4,4-tetramethyl-3-pentanethiol by comparing the spectral data with those of the authentic sample.

### References

- 1) A. Ohno, M. Uohama, K. Nakamura, and S. Oka, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1977**, 1905.
- 2) P. de Mayo, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **9**, 52 (1976).
- 3) a) A. Ohno and N. Kito, *Int. J. Sulfur Chem., Part A*, **1**, 26 (1971); b) N. Kito and A. Ohno, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **46**, 2487 (1973).
- 4) a) D. S. L. Blackwell and P. de Mayo, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, **1973**, 30; b) J. R. Bolton, K. S. Chem, A. H. Lawrence, and P. de Mayo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **97**, 1832 (1975).
- 5) The reaction also proceeds in a tube of ordinal glass which cuts the light below 400 nm.
- 6) A. Ohno, Y. Ohnishi, and G. Tsuchihashi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **91**, 5038 (1969).
- 7) Y. Ohnishi and A. Ohno, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **46**, 3868 (1973).
- 8) C. C. Liao and P. de Mayo, *Chem. Commun.*, **1971**, 1525.
- 9) C. Walling and M. J. Gibian, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **94**, 4040 (1972).
- 10) A. Beckett and G. Porter, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, **59**, 2038 (1963).
- 11) J. L. Franklin, J. G. Dillard, H. M. Rosenstock, J. T. Hesson, K. Draxl, and F. H. Field, "Ionization Potentials, Appearance Potentials and Heat of Formation of Gaseous Positive Ions," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, 1969.
- 12) J. B. Guttenplan and S. G. Cohen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **94**, 4040 (1972).
- 13) P. J. Wagner and R. A. Leavitt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **95**, 3669 (1973).
- 14) Cf. S. G. Cohen and G. Parsons, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **92**, 7603 (1970).
- 15) R. Rajee and V. Ramamurthy, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1978**, 3463.
- 16) N. Kito and A. Ohno, *Chem. Commun.*, **1971**, 1338.
- 17) A. Ohno, K. Nakamura, M. Uohama, S. Oka, T. Yamabe, and S. Nagata, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **48**, 3718 (1975).
- 18) A. Ohno, K. Nakamura, Y. Nakazima, and S. Oka, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **48**, 2403 (1975).